

MPCA/EOD Feb 14, 2003																						
DRAFT / PRELIMINARY																						
Water affected	Parameter	Type (std or criteria)	Rule	Water Quality Std (in rule)	Site Specific Standard	Public Notice	Preliminary proposal sent to EPA	Final proposal sent to EPA	Date adopted / EPA approved	Comments	Requirements	Permit # (if applicable)	Facility name	Receiving water	HUC# - Major Watershed	Basin	TWN Year	Justification	In db			
South Fork at Hutchinson WWTP outfall T.116, R29W, s.7 NE1/4 (located on 07010205-510)	Copper	Std	7050.0222 subp.4	23.2 ug/L, based on a hardness of 400 mg/L	Less than or equal to 67.3 ug/L, based on a hardness of 400 mg/L				1/8/1996	Based on site specific study. Toxics review document dated (revised) 2/1/07 identifies that a Water Effect Ratio (WER) of 2.9 was determined (in a 1993 study) for this facility in 1995 applicable to the 1995 and subsequent permits. Permit issue date in WQDelta is 01/08/96.		0055832	Hutchinson	Crow R, S Fork	07010205- South Fork Crow River	Upper Mississippi River	2012 monitoring/ 2014 assessment	Federal regulations at 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1) require that pollutants be evaluated for the potential to exceed water quality standards ("Reasonable Potential") using acceptable technical procedures, accounting for variability in the effluent. Copper was calculated with and without the Water Effect Ratio (WER) to demonstrate the difference in calculation of preliminary effluent limits. The WER of 2.9 was determined in a 1993 study by the discharger. The WER was evaluated at 400 mg/L total hardness both in effluent and receiving water, since both are over 400 mg/L. The WER is the ratio of copper toxicity to discharge site ambient water versus that found in clean lab water.	No			
at SW003 (T.51N, R.12W, S.17, SW 1/4) station located between County Highway 61 and Lake Superior. Covers only the area near the mouth/confluence with Lake Superior (located on 04010102-698).	Temperature	Std	7050.0222 subp.2	no material increase	-For the months from November through April - not to exceed 50 deg F as a monthly average. -For the months from May through October, not to exceed the monthly average temperature at SW001 (located 0.04 miles upstream from SW003 at T.51N, R.12W, S.17, SW 1/4).				7/8/2004	See documentation from 2004 effluent limit review. 50 DegF limit for SW003 station. A new temperature monitoring station (SW003) should be established downstream of the discharge and after complete mixing. A temperature not to exceed 50° F as a monthly average at SW003 during the period November through April would assure adequate temperatures exist for the spawning cycle (10° C or 50° F optimum, and range 1-13° C), and also be within acceptable boundaries for egg incubation (7-12° C optimum, and range 5-15° C) (Bovee, 1978 and U.S.EPA, 1977). This temperature (50° F) was also the compliance temperature established for the variance. If the temperature at SW001 should exceed 50° F, then the monthly average temperature at SW003 should not exceed that temperature at SW001. Otherwise, the temperature at SW003 must not exceed temperatures at SW001 for the period May-October based on the monthly average temperature.	monitoring of receiving water	0004413	MNDR French River Hatchery (MN0004413, 5357 N Shore Dr)	French River	04010102 - Lake Superior	Lake Superior	2011 monitoring/ 2013 assessment	The site-specific standard for this station on the French River has two components. The first is a temperature standard that applies during the winter months (November through April). During that time, the temperature at monitoring station SW003 cannot exceed 50° F. The second component relates to the relationship of the temperature at monitoring station SW003 and the temperature at monitoring station SW001, which is upstream of SW003. During the warm weather months when the 50° F standard does not apply, the site-specific standard requires that the temperature at SW003 cannot exceed the temperature at SW001. For both standards, the temperature is based on a monthly average at each monitoring station.	No			
etland adjacent to Lake Winona (21-0081) at ALASO outfall T.128, R39W, S.25 NW1/4	Copper	Std	7050.0222 subp.4	23.2 ug/L, based on a hardness of 400 mg/L	Less than or equal to 111 ug/L, based on a hardness of 400 mg/L				4/19/1994	Based on site specific study. A toxics review document dated 9/8/05 identifies that a Water Effect Ratio (WER) of 4.8 was determined, and approved in 1993. Permit issue date in WQDelta is 04/19/94.		0040738	ALASO	Unnamed wetland to Lake Winona (21-0081)	07010108- Long Prairie River	Upper Mississippi River	2011 monitoring/ 2013 assessment	Federal regulations at 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1) require that pollutants be evaluated for the potential to exceed water quality standards ("Reasonable Potential") using acceptable technical procedures, accounting for variability in the effluent. The copper WQS includes a water effect ratio (WER=4.8) adjustment factor in the analysis. A WER is a site specific determination of toxicity to live organisms of a substance in site water versus standard laboratory water to determine a ratio applicable to the numeric WQS. The WER was approved in 1993.	No - need not apply			
the North, from just downstream of 12th Ave N bridge in Fargo to the confluence with the Buffalo River (09020104-502 & 09020104-511)	Total ammonia nitrogen	Std	7050.0222 subp.3	0.04 mg/L unionized ammonia	Ammonia, total in mg N/L. Chronic Standard (CStox) -Thirty Day Standard: The concentration of total ammonia nitrogen, in mg N/L, in any thirty day period cannot exceed the applicable CStox values (as derived below), more than once every three years on average; AND -Four Day Standard: The average concentration of the four days of highest ammonia, in mg N/L, concentrations within the 30 days applied to the equation must not exceed 2.5 times the value of the applicable CStox. The CStox varies depending on the applicable months for each equation and also on pH and temperature. For March through September: CStox = (0.0577/(1+ [10]^(7.688-pH)))+ 2.487/(1+ [10]^(pH-7.688))) x MIN(2.85, 1.45 x [10]^(0.028(25-T))) Where: pH means ambient water pH; and MIN means multiply by the minimum value of either 2.85 or 1.45 x [10]^(0.028(25-T)), where T means the ambient water Temperature in degrees Celsius (C). In this equation, 2.85 is used when T is less than or equal to 14° C. For October through February: CStox = (0.0577/(1+ [10]^(7.688-pH)))+ 2.487/(1+ [10]^(pH-7.688))) x MIN (4.63, 1.45 x [10]^(0.028(25-T))) Where: MIN means multiply by the minimum value of either 4.63 or 1.45 x [10]^(0.028(25-T)), where T means the ambient water Temperature in degrees Celsius (C). In this equation, 4.63 is used when T is less than or equal to 14° C.			6/20/2000	See equations from EPA's 1999 criterion. Example calc: (Total NH3 mg/L) x 1.14 Jun-Sep (pH 8.1, Temp 24C) 2.60 Oct-Nov (pH 8.15, Temp 10C) 4.54 Dec-Feb (pH 7.9, Temp 1C) 2.21 Mar-May (pH 8.0, Temp 16C). Based on site specific study. 6/20/00 is date of permit reissuance. See "Site-specific Ammonia Standard" for the Red River of the North in the Fargo-Moorhead Area" MPCA March 24, 2000 [Table 5.7]. J-5 pH 8.1, Temp 24; O-N pH 8.15, Temp 10; D-F pH 7.9, Temp 0; M-M pH 8.0, Temp 16. July 28, 1999 letter to David Pfeifer (USEPA) has site specific standards of 1.5 J-Sep, 2.25 Oct-Nov, 3.0 Dec-Feb, 1.5 Mar-May, and David Maschwitz email of Feb 18, 2000 with attached EF-lms.doc file has these same numbers. March 24, 2000 memo is considered final.	108 kg/day effluent limit when summer flows in the Red River are less than or equal to 50 cfs. The permit also includes a Jun-Sep effluent limit of 19 mg/L.		0049069	Moorhead	Red River of the North	09020104 - Red River of the North Headwaters	Upper Mississippi River	2015 monitoring/ 2017 assessment	The MPCA worked with the state of North Dakota to cooperatively develop this standard and the fact that the rule includes specific formulas for the calculation of the chronic standard reflects the MPCA's intent to maintain consistency with the standard as it exists in the North Dakota regulations. The site-specific standard for this stretch of the Red River of the North has two components, a thirty day standard and also a standard linking the four highest days of ammonia concentration. The allowable levels of ammonia are calculated based on ambient water pH and water temperature.	Yes			
ver from the outlet of the Blue Lake WWTF (RM 21) to mouth at Fort Snelling	Dissolved Oxygen	Std	SSC written into MN Rule 7050.0222 subp.4	Not less than 5 mg/L daily average year-round	Not less than 5 mg/L daily average year-round				2000?	Compliance is required 50 percent of the days at which the flow of the receiving water is equal to the 7Q10.		NA	NA	07020012 - Lower Minnesota River	Minnesota River	2014 monitoring/ 2016 assessment	The site-specific dissolved oxygen standard for this stretch of the Minnesota River was incorporated into the state rules at part 7050.0222, subp. 5 (for Class 2C waters) in a rulemaking finalized in 2000. In this rulemaking it is being moved without substantive change from where it was in subpart 5 to this new item. The content of this site-specific standard has not been changed, although the format has been slightly modified in this rulemaking to make it conform to the format of the rest of the site specific standards in this rulemaking.	Yes				
ver from outlet of Metro WWTF in St Paul (RM 815) to Lock and Dam No. 2 at Hastings (RM 815)	Dissolved Oxygen	Std	SSC written into MN Rule 7050.0222 subp.4	Not less than 5 mg/L daily average form Apr 1-Nov 30, and not less than 4 at other times	Not less than 5 mg/L daily average form Apr 1-Nov 30, and not less than 4 at other times				2000?	Compliance is required 50 percent of the days at which the flow of the receiving water is equal to the 7Q10.		NA	NA	07040001- Mississippi River - Lake Pepin	Upper Mississippi River	2018 monitoring/ 2020 assessment	The MPCA formed a Water Quality Standards Advisory Committee in 1996 and one of the topics discussed was the statewide dissolved oxygen standard. As a result of that discussion, the MPCA decided not to amend the statewide standard but to instead add language allowing a site-specific modification for this stretch of the Mississippi River. The site-specific water quality standard applies year round to this stretch of the river, but becomes less stringent when sensitive early life stages are absent from this specific portion of the Mississippi River. As a result, during the winter the standard drops from 5 mg/L as a warm months daily average to 4 mg/L as a cold months daily average.	Yes				
(lower two-thirds of 19-0006-00, the combined transitional and near-dam segments)	Eutrophication	Std	7050.0222 subp.4 Eutrophication stds	Total Phosphorus (TP) 65 ug/L, Chlorophyll-a (Chl-a) 22 ug/L, Secchi not less than 0.9 m	TP less than or equal to 90 ug/L, Chl-a less than or equal to 30 ug/L, Secchi disk transparency greater than or equal to 0.8 m		5/26/2009	5/5/2010	8/26/2011	TP < 90 ppb as summer mean as measured in the combined transitional (middle) and near-dam segments. Viable Chlorophyll a < 30 ppb as summer-mean as measured in these two segments. Secchi as a summer mean of 0.8 m or greater as measured in these two segments. Flow range: Applies over a range of flows from ~156 cfs (summer 12Q210, 90th percentile flow) up to ~1,000 cfs (<20th percentile), which corresponds to a residence time of about 8-10 days. Dates are based on the 5/26/2009 Draft and Public Notice. Additional attorney information was sent to EPA on 4/19/2011.		NA	NA	NA	07040002- Cannon River	Upper Mississippi River	2011 monitoring/ 2013 assessment	The site-specific dissolved oxygen standard for this stretch of the Mississippi River was incorporated into the state rules at part 7050.0222, subps. 4 (for Class 2B waters) and 5 (for Class 2C waters) in a rulemaking finalized in 2000. The content of this site-specific standard has not been changed, although the format has been slightly modified in this rulemaking to make it conform to the format of the rest of the site specific standards in this rulemaking. The best available bathymetry data suggest that 48 percent of the Bylesby Reservoir is less than 10 feet deep, and a simple approximation of the littoral area is 66 percent. That value is very close to the criterion put forth in the shallow lake definition: maximum depth of 15 feet or 80 percent or more littoral. The other criterion in the shallow lake definition is that it is uncommon for shallow lakes to thermally stratify in the summer. In terms of surface area, the majority of the Bylesby Reservoir meets that criterion as well, with the exception of the single deep hole in the near-dam portion of the reservoir. Absent this portion, the remainder of the transitional and near-dam bays remains well-mixed throughout the summer, with at most temporary stratification during very warm and calm periods. Given this relative shallowness, the very large watershed, short water residence time, and predominance of agriculture throughout the watershed, the focus for site-specific criteria for Bylesby is on reducing the frequency and severity of nuisance algal blooms. This would be consistent with other shallow lakes in the Western Corn Belt Plains ecoregion.	No			
ha (27-0018-00)	Eutrophication	Std	7050.0222 subp.4 Eutrophication stds	TP 40 ug/L, Chl-a 14 ug/L, Secchi 1.4 m	TP < 50 ug/L, Chl-a 14 ug/L, Secchi 1.4 m		12/13/2012	5/29/2013	7/24/2013	Lake Hiawatha Site specific Eutrophication Criteria Justification. Public notice Feb 4 - Mar 6, 2013. Sent to EPA for preliminary review on Dec 13, 2012. Final Findings of Fact signed by commissioner sent 5/21/2013		NA	NA	NA	07010206- Mississippi River - Twin Cities	Upper Mississippi River	2010 monitoring/ 2012 assessment	Lake Hiawatha and its watershed are located within the Minnehaha Creek Watershed District jurisdiction in the Twin Cities Metropolitan area. MPCA based the site-specific standard on a detailed analysis of the lake's hydraulic residence time, the long-term response to phosphorus loading and other factors. The MPCA's review of in-lake water quality data indicates that due to the lake's relatively short residence time, the lake need only meet 50 ug/L in order to achieve chlorophyll-a and Secchi disk depth standards. Achieving chlorophyll-a and Secchi disk depth standards translates to producing minimal nuisance algal blooms and exhibiting desirable water clarity, which further translates to meeting desired beneficial aquatic recreational uses of the lake.	No			
is (27-0019-00)	Eutrophication	Std	7050.0222 subp.4 Eutrophication stds	TP 40 ug/L, Chl-a 14 ug/L, Secchi 1.4 m	TP < 50 ug/L, Chl-a < 20 ug/L, Secchi 1.4 m		1/3/2012	5/29/2013	8/29/2013	Lake Nokomis Site specific Eutrophication Criteria Justification. Public notice Sept 27-Oct 27, 2010. Sent to EPA for preliminary review on Jan 3, 2012. Final Findings of Fact signed by commissioner sent 5/21/2013		NA	NA	NA	07010206- Mississippi River - Twin Cities	Upper Mississippi River	2010 monitoring/ 2012 assessment	Lake Nokomis is located within the Minnehaha Creek Watershed District jurisdiction in the Twin Cities Metropolitan area. Lake Nokomis has a surface area of 200 acres. Sixty-six percent of the lake is less than 15 feet deep, and a large part is only minimally deeper (84% is 16 feet or less). The site-specific standard is based on a hybrid of the existing standard and the shallow lake standard, as the lake displays characteristics of both. The MPCA's review of in-lake water quality data indicates that achieving a TP concentration of 50 ug/L will result in a chlorophyll-a level of 20 ug/L. Achieving chlorophyll-a and Secchi disk depth standards translates to producing minimal nuisance algal blooms and exhibiting desirable water clarity, which further translates to meeting desired beneficial aquatic recreational uses of the lake.	No			
a (21-0081-00)	Eutrophication	Std	7050.0222 subp.4 Eutrophication stds	TP 60 ug/L, Chl-a 20 ug/L, Secchi 1.0 m	TP 75 ug/L, Chl-a 20 ug/L, Secchi 1.0 m		7/19/2011	4/16/2014	7/12/2014				NA	NA	NA	07010108- Long Prairie River	Upper Mississippi River	2011 monitoring/ 2013 assessment	Federal regulations at 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1) require that pollutants be evaluated for the potential to exceed water quality standards ("Reasonable Potential") using acceptable technical procedures, accounting for variability in the effluent. The copper WQS includes a water effect ratio (WER=4.8) adjustment factor in the analysis. A WER is a site specific determination of toxicity to live organisms of a substance in site water versus standard laboratory water to determine a ratio applicable to the numeric WQS. The WER was approved in 1993. The site-specific copper standard for this unnamed wetland was approved by Region V USEPA on April 19, 1994.	No		
ver mainstem—Pool 2 through 4—(Also described as: from Lock and Dam #1 to river mile 786; Pools 2, 3, 4—St. Paul to Lake Pepin) 64 mile reach includes: 07010206-500, 07010206-504, 07010206-502, 07010206-501, and 07040001-531.	TSS	Std	Replaced by TSS SSSs in MN Rule on 8/14/14	25-NFU	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)-summer average—in mg/L—less than or equal to 32. For this subitem, the TSS standard can be exceed no more than 50% of the summers over a multi-year data window. This standard applies June through September.				11/8/2010	Turbidity-submerged aquatic vegetation (narrative)-Goal to achieve a 21%-frequency-of-submersed-aquatic-vegetation.		NA	NA	NA	07010206- Mississippi River—Twin Cities & 07040001- Mississippi River—Lake Pepin	Upper Mississippi River	June-September) in five or more years during a 10-year period	This 64-mile stretch of the Mississippi River from Pools 2 to the portion of Pool 4 above the headwaters of Lake Pepin, which corresponds to the area from Lock and Dam #1 to river mile 786, suffers from high turbidity, meaning the water is too cloudy to support aquatic life such as fish. The turbidity results from total suspended solids (TSS), which are tiny particles of soil and other matter that remain suspended in water. This cloudiness prevents sunlight from penetrating the water and growing rooted aquatic vegetation, reducing habitat for fish and wildlife.	Yes			
mainstem - headwaters to border	TSS	Std	SSC written into MN Rule 7050.0222 subp.4	10 mg/L may be exceeded for no more than ten percent of the time. This standard applies April 1 through September 30		Nov. 2013 - Feb. 2014	~2008	8/26/2014	1/23/2015								Large River			Yes		
issippi River mainstem - Pools 2 through 4	TSS	Std	SSC written into MN Rule 7050.0222 subp.4	32 mg/L may be exceeded for no more than 50 percent of the time. This standard applies June 1 through September 30		Nov. 2013 - Feb. 2014	~2008	8/26/2014	1/23/2015								Large River			Yes		
issippi River mainstem below Lake Pepin	TSS	Std	SSC written into MN Rule 7050.0222 subp.4	30 mg/L may be exceeded for no more than 50 percent of the time. This standard applies June 1 through September 30		Nov. 2013 - Feb. 2014	~2008	8/26/2014	1/23/2015								Large River			Yes		
ver Navigational Pool 1 (river miles 854.1 to 847.7 reach from St. Anthony to Ford Dam in St. Paul)	Eutrophication	Std	SSC written into MN Rule 7050.0222 subp.4	Central River Nutrient Region Eutrophication Standards	TP less than or equal to 100 ug/L, Chl-a less than or equal to 35 ug/L		Nov. 2013 - Feb. 2014	~2008	8/26/2014	1/23/2015	Contested							2014 assessment	Mississippi River Pools 1 through 8 - Developing River, Pool and Lake Pepin Eutrophication Criteria	Yes		
ver Navigational Pool 2 (river miles 847.7 to 815.2 reach from Ford Dam to Hastings Dam)	Eutrophication	Std	SSC written into MN Rule 7050.0222 subp.4	Central River Nutrient Region Eutrophication Standards	TP less than or equal to 125 ug/L, Chl-a less than or equal to 35 ug/L		Nov. 2013 - Feb. 2014	~2008	8/26/2014	1/23/2015	Contested							2014 assessment	Mississippi River Pools 1 through 8 - Developing River, Pool and Lake Pepin Eutrophication Criteria	Yes		
ver Navigational Pool 3 (river miles 815.2 to 796.9 reach from Hastings Dam to Deer Mound Dam)	Eutrophication	Std	SSC written into MN Rule 7050.0222 subp.4	South River Nutrient Region Eutrophication Standards	TP less than or equal to 100 ug/L, Chl-a less than or equal to 35 ug/L		Nov. 2013 - Feb. 2014	~2008	8/26/2014	1/23/2015	Contested							2014 assessment	Mississippi River Pools 1 through 8 - Developing River, Pool and Lake Pepin Eutrophication Criteria	Yes		
ver Navigational Pool 4 (river miles 796.9 to 752.8 reach from Red Wing Dam to Alma Dam)	Eutrophication	Std	SSC written into MN Rule 7050.0222 subp.4	South River Nutrient Region Eutrophication Standards	Lake Pepin occupies majority of Pool 4 and Lake Pepin site-specific standards are used for this pool.		Nov. 2013 - Feb. 2014	~2008	8/26/2014	1/23/2015	Contested							2014 assessment	Mississippi River Pools 1 through 8 - Developing River, Pool and Lake Pepin Eutrophication Criteria	Yes		
(25-0001-00)	Eutrophication	Std	SSC written into MN Rule 7050.0222 subp.4	TP less than or equal to 100 ug/L, Chl-a less than or equal to 35 ug/L, TP less than or equal to 28 ug/L		Nov. 2013 - Feb. 2014	~2008	8/26/2014	1/23/2015	Contested								2018 monitoring/ 2020 assessment	The site-specific standard for Lake Pepin was developed as a part of the nutrient impairment study (TMDL) that is currently underway. This site-specific standard is based on the river eutrophication standards being proposed in this rulemaking for the streams and rivers in the rest of Minnesota. In the case of Lake Pepin, the Pepin site-specific standards reflect years of work, application of model results, feedback from the Lake Pepin TMDL Science Advisory Panel, and consideration of the State of Wisconsin, which has promulgated eutrophication standards. The site-specific standard being proposed for Lake Pepin is different than the other site-specific standards being addressed in this rulemaking because it has not already been approved and applied. It is being newly proposed through this rulemaking and the need for and reasonableness of it is extensively discussed in section 4. F of Book 2. This standard is being proposed as part of the eutrophication standards proposed for Minn. R. part 7050.0220 and 7050.0222. As such, the proposed Lake Pepin eutrophication site specific water quality standard is open for comments and discussion similar to other portions of this rulemaking.	Yes		

Variances											DRAFT / PRELIMINARY											MPCA/EO Feb 14, 2003			
Mississippi River Navigational Pools 5 to 8 (river miles 752.8 to 679.1 Alna Dam to Genoa Dam)	Eutrophication	Std	SSC written into MN Rule 7050.0222 subp.4	South River Nutrient Region Eutrophication Standards	TP less than or equal to 100 ug/L Chl-a less than or equal to 35 ug/L	Nov. 2013 - Feb. 2014	~2008	8/26/2014	1/23/2015	Contested										2014 assesment	Mississippi River Pools 1 through 8 - Developing River, Pool and Lake Resin Eutrophication Criteria	Yes	No (att		
Crow Wing River from confluence of Long Prairie River to the mouth of the Crow Wing River at the Mississippi River	Eutrophication	Std	SSC written into MN Rule 7050.0222 subp.4	Central River Nutrient Region Eutrophication Standards	Phosphorus, total ug/L less than or equal to 75 Chlorophyll-a (seston) ug/L less than or equal to 13 Diel dissolved oxygen flux mg/L less than or equal to 3.5 Biochemical oxygen demand (BOD5) mg/L less than or equal to 4.0	Nov. 2013 - Feb. 2014	~2008	8/26/2014	1/23/2015	Contested										2014 assesment	Mississippi River Pools 1 through 8 - Developing River, Pool and Lake Resin Eutrophication Criteria	Yes	No (att		
Crow River from the confluence of the North Fork of the Crow River and South Fork of the Crow River to the mouth of the Crow River at the Mississippi River	Eutrophication	Std	SSC written into MN Rule 7050.0222 subp.4	Central River Nutrient Region Eutrophication Standards	Phosphorus, total ug/L less than or equal to 125 Chlorophyll-a (seston) ug/L less than or equal to 27 Diel dissolved oxygen flux mg/L less than or equal to 4.0 Biochemical oxygen demand (BOD5) mg/L less than or equal to 7.0	Nov. 2013 - Feb. 2014	~2008	8/26/2014	1/23/2015	Contested										2014 assesment	Mississippi River Pools 1 through 8 - Developing River, Pool and Lake Resin Eutrophication Criteria	Yes	No (att		

Water affected	Parameter	Type (std or criteria)	<u>Rule</u>
Associated with discharge points from Cliffs Eerie-Dunka Mining; SD005-Biliken Cr to Unnamed Cr / SD006-Flamingo Cr / SD007, SD008 & SD009-Unnamed Creek	Cobalt		7050.0222 subp. 3
Rainy River, assoc with Outfall from Boise White Paper LLC	Dioxin		

**Incomplete...*

Water Quality Std (in rule)	Site Specific Standard	Preliminary proposal sent to EPA	Final proposal sent to EPA	Date adopted/ EPA approved
	1.0 pg/g			

Comments
Based on site specific study
Based on fish tissue data; to protect fish in the Rainy River; fish consumption advisories in the 1990s

Requirements	Permit # (if applicable)	Facility name	Receiving water	<u>HUC8 - Major Watershed</u>	Basin	IWM Year
	0042579	LTV / Dunka				
sludge monitoring	0001643	Boise Cascade				

Justification	In db	Split potential
		Unknown geographic extent; possible that no WID exists
		Unknown geographic extent but probably pretty close to AUID 09030004-502

Water affected	Parameter	Type (std or criteria)	Rule
	Eutrophication	Standard	7050.0222 subp.4 Eutrophication stds
<u>Spring Lake (70-0054-00)</u>	Eutrophication	Standard	7050.0222 subp.4 Eutrophication stds
<u>Sauk River Chain of Lakes</u>			
<i>Flowage Lakes</i>			
Zumwalde (73-0089-00)	Eutrophication	Standard	7050.0222 subp.4 Eutrophication stds
Great Northern (73-0083-00)	Eutrophication	Standard	7050.0222 subp.4 Eutrophication stds
Knaus (73-0086-00)	Eutrophication	Standard	7050.0222 subp.4 Eutrophication stds
Krays (73-0087-00)	Eutrophication	Standard	7050.0222 subp.4 Eutrophication stds
Horseshoe North (73-0157-00-206)	Eutrophication	Standard	7050.0222 subp.4 Eutrophication stds
Cedar Island Koetter (73-0133-03)	Eutrophication	Standard	7050.0222 subp.4 Eutrophication stds
<i>Non-Flowage Lakes</i>			
Cedar Island Main (73-0133-01)	Eutrophication	Standard	7050.0222 subp.4 Eutrophication stds
Horseshoe South (73-0157-00-204)	Eutrophication	Standard	7050.0222 subp.4 Eutrophication stds
Horseshoe West (73-0157-00-210)	Eutrophication	Standard	7050.0222 subp.4 Eutrophication stds
Bolfing (73-0088-00)	Eutrophication	Standard	7050.0222 subp.4 Eutrophication stds
<u>Minnesota River at Seneca Facility</u>	Selenium		7050.0222 subp.4
<u>Downstream of Keetac Ore Facility</u>			

Water Quality Std (in rule)	Proposed Site Specific Standard	Requested by	Responsible
TP 60 ug/L, Chl-a 20 ug/L, Secchi 1.0 m	TP 100 ug/L, Chl-a 20 ug/L, Secchi 1.0 m	RCWD	Chris Zadak
40 ug/L TP, Chl-a 14 ug/L, Secchi 1.4 m	60 ug/L TP, Chl-a 20 ug/L, Secchi 1.4 m	Prior Lake Spring Lake Watershed District	Chris Zadak
		MPCA	Greg VanEckhout

60 ug/L TP, Chl-a 20 ug/L, Secchi 1.0 m	90 ug/L TP, Chl-a 45 ug/L, Secchi 0.8 m		
60 ug/L TP, Chl-a 20 ug/L, Secchi 1.0 m	90 ug/L TP, Chl-a 45 ug/L, Secchi 0.8 m		
60 ug/L TP, Chl-a 20 ug/L, Secchi 1.0 m	90 ug/L TP, Chl-a 45 ug/L, Secchi 0.8 m		
60 ug/L TP, Chl-a 20 ug/L, Secchi 1.0 m	90 ug/L TP, Chl-a 45 ug/L, Secchi 0.8 m		
60 ug/L TP, Chl-a 20 ug/L, Secchi 1.0 m	90 ug/L TP, Chl-a 45 ug/L, Secchi 0.8 m		
60 ug/L TP, Chl-a 20 ug/L, Secchi 1.0 m	90 ug/L TP, Chl-a 45 ug/L, Secchi 0.8 m		

40 ug/L TP, Chl-a 14 ug/L, Secchi 1.4 m	55 ug/L TP, Chl-a 32 ug/L, Secchi 1.4 m		
40 ug/L TP, Chl-a 14 ug/L, Secchi 1.4 m	55 ug/L TP, Chl-a 32 ug/L, Secchi 1.4 m		
40 ug/L TP, Chl-a 14 ug/L, Secchi 1.4 m	55 ug/L TP, Chl-a 32 ug/L, Secchi 1.4 m		
40 ug/L TP, Chl-a 14 ug/L, Secchi 1.4 m	55 ug/L TP, Chl-a 32 ug/L, Secchi 1.4 m		
Chronic: 5 ug/L, Maximum: 20 ug/L, FAV: 40 ug/L	<i>~42.9 ug/L Chronic</i>	Gopher Resources LLC	
		US Steel	

Status	Preliminary proposal sent to EPA	Final proposal sent to EPA	Date adopted / EPA approved
Long Lake split into North (-01) and South (-02); correction appeared on 2012 303(d) List			
12/28/15: Sent to EPA R5 for approval			
6/1 - 12/31/15: No movement	6/26/2012		

10/1-12/31/15: Back-and-forth communication regarding sampling plans. Mark Jankowski left the agency and another scientist has not been assigned.			
12/11/2014: Request for SSS received. 4/1/2015: On hold since proposal for new sulfate standard has been released.			

Comments	Requirements	Permit # (if applicable)	Facility name
2014-2015: On hold for many months due to turnover at watershed district. 4/6 - 5/5/2015: Public notice. Comments received. One request to bring the SSS in front of the MPCA Citizen's Board (now disbanded). 6/1 - 10/1/2015: Responding to comments. Working on Finding of Fact.			
Flowage and Non-flowage lakes submitted together in single package; SSS TMDL going to EPA for draft review early May; public comment period July 28-Aug. 17, 2014; re-noticed; second public comment period Sept. 8 - Oct. 8; 10/20: beginning response to comment and FOF; 1/5/15: no movement			

May 2015: MPCA and EPA Reviewed sampling plan and brought up issues. No response from Wenck (the contractors) or Gopher. 6/1 - 10/1/2015: Sampling took place. EPA draft selenium criteria released. Discussions with EPA on how to proceed. Gopher/Wenck requested comments on sampling plan and their proposed criteria; MPCA working on response		MN0030007	Seneca WWTP (MCES)
		MN0031879 (Mining and Plant Areas) and MN0055948 (Tailings Basin Area)	Keetac

Receiving water	HUC8 - Major Watershed	Basin	IWM Year	Justification
	Mississippi River - Twin Cities	Upper Mississippi River		
	07020012- Lower Minnesota River	Minnesota River	2014	
	07010202- Sauk River	Upper Mississippi River	2008	
Minnesota River, RM 22 to Mississippi R (07020012-505)	07020012 - Lower Minnesota River	Minnesota River	2014	
	07010103 - Upper Mississippi - Grand Rapids	Upper Mississippi River	2015	

In db Split potential

No

no

no

no

no

yes

no

no

yes

yes

no

?

Hay Lake (31-0037-00)	Wild rice sulfate	Standard	7050.0224 subp. 2				
Hay Creek - confluence with the O'Brien Diversion Channel to Swan Lake (lower half of 07010103-545)	Wild rice sulfate	Standard	7050.0224 subp. 2				
Swan Lake - Southwest Bay (31-0067-01)	Wild rice sulfate	Standard	7050.0224 subp. 2				
Swan River - Swan Lake to confluence with Snowball Creek (very small upper-most portion of 07010103-506)	Wild rice sulfate	Standard	7050.0224 subp. 2				
<u>Lake Winona (21-0081-00)</u>	Chloride		7050.0222 subp.3				
<u>Northeast and northwest of Minntac</u>	All Class 3C and 4A WQS parameters		7050.0223 and 7050.0224				
Timber Creek (09030005-630)	See table ->						
Dark River (from the SD001 discharge point (NPDES/SDS permit MN0057207) to the headwaters of the Dark River trout stream reach (09030005-629, 09030005-592, 09030005-591, 09030005-589))	See table ->		<table><tr><th>Use Classification</th><th></th></tr><tr><td>Class 3C (Industrial Consumption)</td><td></td></tr></table>	Use Classification		Class 3C (Industrial Consumption)	
Use Classification							
Class 3C (Industrial Consumption)							
Dark Lake (69-0790-00)	See table ->						

10 mg/L, applicable to water used for production of wild rice during periods when the rice may be susceptible to damage by high sulfate levels.	No criteria proposed at this time		
10 mg/L, applicable to water used for production of wild rice during periods when the rice may be susceptible to damage by high sulfate levels.	No criteria proposed at this time		
10 mg/L, applicable to water used for production of wild rice during periods when the rice may be susceptible to damage by high sulfate levels.	No criteria proposed at this time		
10 mg/L, applicable to water used for production of wild rice during periods when the rice may be susceptible to damage by high sulfate levels.	No criteria proposed at this time		
Chronic: 230 mg/L, Maximum: 860 mg/L, FAV: 1720 ug/L	<i>~500 mg/L Chronic, ~700 mg/L Maximum</i>	Alexandria Lakes Sanitary District	
<u>See 7050.0223 and 7050.0224</u>	Narrative to replace 3C WQS: The water quality and quantity shall be adequate such as to permit their use for industrial cooling and materials transport. The level of water quality in the water body should be high enough for industrial use so that the water can be used without a high degree of treatment being necessary to avoid severe fouling, corrosion, scaling, or other unsatisfactory conditions. Waters that can support Class 2B uses will be judged as meeting this narrative standard. ...4A below.	US Steel	

Parameter	Water Quality Standard (WQS)	Proposed Site-specific Standard (SITE-SPECIFIC STANDARD)	
Chlorides	250 mg/L	Narrative standard—see above.	
Hardness	500 mg/L as Ca + Mg as CaCO ₃		
pH	6.0 – 9.0		

8/28/2015: received request to use Iowa's updated chloride standard			
Request received 10/30/15. Response sent 12/2. On hold because development of the revision of Class 3 and 4 standards are on the 2014-2016 list of MPCA WQS projects.			

Unsure if request includes the main bay of Swan Lake. Unsure if request includes all of what we call the Southwest Bay or only the southern portion of the bay.			
2010: Winona added to MN's TMDL List		MN0040738	ALASD
		MN0057207	Minntac

Hay Lake				
Hay Creek				
Swan Lake - Southwest Bay				
Swan River - Swan Lake to confluence with Snowball Creek				
21-0081-00	07010108- Long Prairie River	Upper Mississippi River	2011	
		Rainy River		
Timber Creek	09030005 - Little Fork		2008	
Dark River	09030005 - Little Fork		2008	
Dark Lake	09030005 - Little Fork		2008	

no

yes

no

yes

no

no

no

no

Sand River (from the headwaters just east of the Minntac tailings basin to its confluence with the Pike River (09030002-501))	See table ->		Class 4A (Irrigation)	E
Admiral Lake (69-1392-00)	See table ->			S
Sandy Lake and Little Sandy Lake ((Twin Lakes) (69-0729-00, 69-0730-00))	See table ->			S
				T

Bicarbonate	5 meq/L	Adjusted Sodium Adsorption Ratio (Adj. RNa) = 10	
Sodium	60% of Total Cations as meq/L		
Specific Conductance	1,000 µmhos/cm	2,000 µmhos/cm	
Total Dissolved Salts	700 mg/L	Remove	

Sand River	09030002 - Vermillion		2015	
Admiral Lake	09030002 - Vermillion		2015	
Sandy and Little Sandy Lake	09030002 - Vermillion		2015	

no

no

no

OTHER THAN FINAL SUBMISSIONS - those in		Rows shaded in pale blue are completed (i.e., end date pres		
Submission No. + Links	State-Tribe / Title	Type Status	Date Received	Begin Date
<u>MN2012-431</u> <i>Linked to:</i>	Minnesota / Zumwalde Lake Nutrient SSC	Under Development WQS Submission <i>Under Review</i>	6/26/2012	N/A
<u>MN2012-430</u> <i>Linked to:</i>	Minnesota / Cedar Island Main Lake Nutrient SSC	Under Development WQS Submission <i>Under Review</i>	6/26/2012	N/A
<u>MN2012-432</u> <i>Linked to:</i>	Minnesota / Great Northern Lake Nutrient SSC	Under Development WQS Submission <i>Under Review</i>	6/26/2012	N/A
<u>MN2012-433</u> <i>Linked to:</i>	Minnesota / Knaus Lake Nutrient SSC	Under Development WQS Submission <i>Under Review</i>	6/26/2012	N/A
<u>MN2012-434</u> <i>Linked to:</i>	Minnesota / Krays Lake Nutrient SSC	Under Development WQS Submission <i>Under Review</i>	6/26/2012	N/A
<u>MN2012-435</u> <i>Linked to:</i>	Minnesota / Horseshoe Lake Nutrient SSC	Under Development WQS Submission <i>Under Review</i>	6/26/2012	N/A
<u>MN2012-429</u> <i>Linked to:</i>	Minnesota / Cedar Island (Koetter Lake) Nutrient SSC	Under Development WQS Submission <i>Under Review</i>	6/26/2012	N/A
<u>MN2011-413</u> <i>Linked to:</i>	Minnesota / Dunka Mine Variance Request	Under Development WQS Submission <i>Under Review</i>	11/1/2011	N/A
<u>MN2011-408</u> <i>Linked to:</i>	Minnesota / Lake Winona Nutrient Phosphorus Criterion	Draft WQS Submission <i>Under Review</i>	7/19/2011	N/A
<u>MN2010-376</u> <i>Linked to:</i>	Minnesota / Peltier Lake Nutrient Site-Specific Criteria	Under Development WQS Submission <i>Under Review</i>	10/22/2010	N/A
<u>MN2010-346</u> <i>Linked to:</i>	Minnesota / Site-Specific Nutrient Criteria for Minnehaha Creek Watershed Lakes	Under Development WQS Submission <i>Expected - Not Yet Received</i>	1/29/2010	N/A

ent)	
End Date	NOTE
N/A	<i>Horseshoe</i>
N/A	<i>Horseshoe</i>
N/A	<i>Horseshoe</i>
N/A	<i>Horseshoe</i>
N/A	<i>Horseshoe</i>
N/A	<i>Horseshoe</i>
N/A	<i>Horseshoe</i>
N/A	<i>Variance</i>
N/A	<i>4/16/2014</i>
N/A	<i>?-Chris Z</i>
N/A	<i>?-Chris Z</i>

Water affected	Limit/WQ Standard	Type (std or criteria)	<u>Rule</u>	Water Quality Std (in rule)	Proposed Site Specific Std	Requested by	Responsible	Status	Preliminary proposal sent to EPA	Final proposal sent to EPA
----------------	-------------------	------------------------	-------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------	--------------	-------------	--------	----------------------------------	----------------------------

Dunka Mine Variance

11/1/2011

Date adopted / EPA approved	Comments	Additional Requirements	<u>Major</u> <u>Watershed</u>	Basin	Permit # (if applicable)	Facility name	Receiving water
--------------------------------------	----------	----------------------------	----------------------------------	-------	--------------------------------	------------------	--------------------